

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/919,533 07/31/2001 John Frederick Hayfield CRYO/US-56 4377 22875 7590 03/18/2003 GERALD W SPINKS P.O. BOX 2330 EXAMINER PORT ORCHARD, WA 98366 CUEVAS, PEDRO J ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

> 2834 DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/919,533	HAYFIELD, JOHN FREDERICK	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this	Pedro J. Cuevas	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any Status				
1)[∑	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 December 2002</u> .			
2a)[1 This c	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)[6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) he had been a				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for demostic priority and the certified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Sumr 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)				

Application/Control Number: 09/919,533

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. The indicated allowability of claims 5-9 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Stokes. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,642,088 A to Unger in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,850,100 to Stokes.

Unger disclose the construction of a magnet support sleeve, comprising:

- a hollow cylindrical metal support sleeve (22);
- a plurality of magnets (20) positioned on a surface (24) of said support sleeve;
- at least one mounting bracket (32) fastened to said support sleeve;
- at least one angled lip (100) on said at least one mounting bracket.

However, it fails to disclose:

a plurality of beveled bearing surfaces on said plurality of magnets, each said bearing surface being beveled at a first acute angle relative to said support sleeve; and Application/Control Number: 09/919,533

Art Unit: 2834

each said bearing surface being contacted by said at least one lip on said mounting bracket, angled at a second acute angle relative to said support sleeve, to hold said plurality of magnets in place on said support sleeve.

Stokes teach the method of making a rotor assembly having:

magnets (24, 26, 28, 30) with at two beveled bearing surface (Figure 3) on the magnets, said bearing surface being beveled at a first acute angle; and

wherein each said bearing surface is being contacted by said at least one lip on said mounting bracket, and angled at a second acute angle relative to said support sleeve, for the purpose of holding said plurality of magnets in place, and producing an increasing or decreasing magnetic field as the encoder moves onto or off a sensor.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the tapered magnets disclosed by Chitayat on the magnet support sleeve disclosed by Unger for the purpose of holding said plurality of magnets in place, and producing an increasing or decreasing magnetic field as the encoder moves onto or off a sensor.

- 5. With regards to claims 6, Unger in view of Stokes disclose at least one bracket comprising a mounting ring circumferentially fastened to said support sleeve, and said at least one angled lip extends from said at least one mounting ring over said plurality of beveled bearing surfaces on said plurality of magnets.
- 6. With regards to claim 8, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set the first acute angle greater than the second acute angle, and between two and four degrees, since it has been held that where the general conditions

Application/Control Number: 09/919,533

Art Unit: 2834

of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves

Page 4

only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

7. With regards to claim 9, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the

art at the time the invention was made to set the first acute angle to be about 45 degrees, since it

has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only

routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Pedro J. Cuevas whose telephone number is (703) 308-4904. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nestor R. Ramírez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-1341 for regular

communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Pedro J. Cuevas March 12, 2003 MESTON RAMMEZ

SUPTEMBORY FATELY CASHINER

TECHNOLIC ET LAN DER 2000